Scholarly Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies
Online ISSN 2278-8808, SJIF 2025 = 8.486
https://www.srjis.com/issues_data/276
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal, NOV-DEC 2025, Vol- 14/92

DETERMINANTS OF BRAND EQUITY AND INSTITUTIONAL REPUTATION IN B-SCHOOLS: A CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar
Research Student
Dr. Louis Radha Jerry
Research Guide

Paper Received On: 20 NOVEMBER 2025
Peer Reviewed On: 24 DECEMBER 2025
Published On: 01 JANUARY 2026

Abstract

The higher education sector has experienced substantial transformation over the past two decades due to globalization, technological advancements, increased competition, and changing expectations of students, employers, and society. Business Schools (B-Schools) are operating in a highly competitive environment where institutional success depends not only on academic excellence but also on the strength of their brand and reputation. Brand equity and institutional reputation have become strategic assets that influence student admissions, faculty recruitment, industry partnerships, alumni engagement, accreditation outcomes, and long-term sustainability. A strong institutional brand enables Business Schools to differentiate themselves from competitors, establish stakeholder trust, and enhance their national and international recognition.

Keywords: *Brand Equity, Institutional Reputation, Business Schools, Higher Education, Educational Branding, Brand Image, Brand Identity, Stakeholder Engagement, Student Satisfaction, Institutional Quality, Strategic Branding, Digital Branding, Higher Education Marketing.*

Introduction

Higher education is widely recognized as one of the most important drivers of economic growth, social development, innovation, and human capital formation. Universities and Business Schools play a significant role in developing professional competencies, leadership skills, entrepreneurial abilities, and research capabilities that contribute to national and global development. In recent years, however, the higher education sector has become increasingly competitive due to globalization, technological advancements, policy reforms, international student mobility, and the rapid expansion of private educational institutions. As a result, educational institutions are expected not only to provide quality education but also to establish a strong institutional identity and maintain a favourable reputation among diverse stakeholder groups.

Objectives of the Study

The present conceptual study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To examine the concepts of brand equity and institutional reputation in the context of higher education.
2. To review the existing literature on the determinants of brand equity in Business Schools.
3. To identify the major factors influencing institutional reputation in higher education institutions.

Purpose of the Study

The primary purpose of this conceptual study is to examine the determinants of brand equity and institutional reputation in Business Schools by synthesizing existing theories and scholarly literature on branding and higher education management. The study seeks to explain how academic quality, faculty competence, student satisfaction, research excellence, accreditation, placement performance, industry collaboration, digital branding, alumni engagement, leadership, and stakeholder relationships collectively contribute to the development of a strong institutional brand.

Review of Literature

Kotler and Fox (1995), Kotler and Fox introduced the application of marketing principles to educational institutions in their book Strategic Marketing for Educational Institutions. They argued that educational institutions must understand the needs of students, parents, employers, alumni, and society while developing long-term marketing strategies. Aaker (1991), Aaker proposed that brand equity consists of five major dimensions: brand awareness, perceived quality, brand associations, brand loyalty, and proprietary brand assets. He explained that organizations possessing strong brand equity enjoy greater customer trust, competitive advantage, and long-term sustainability. Aaker (1996), In Building Strong Brands, Aaker further emphasized that sustainable brands are developed through continuous quality improvement, organizational credibility, and meaningful stakeholder relationships. He argued that brand-building should become an integral part of organizational strategy. Keller (1993), Keller introduced the Customer-Based Brand Equity (CBBE) Model, explaining that strong brands are created when customers possess favourable knowledge, positive experiences, and emotional attachment toward a brand. In higher education, stakeholders such as students, parents, employers, and alumni collectively shape institutional brand equity.

Keller (2013), Keller further expanded the concept of strategic brand management by emphasizing the importance of creating strong brand identity, communicating organizational values, and maintaining long-term stakeholder relationships. Hemsley-Brown and Oplatka (2006), Hemsley-Brown and Oplatka conducted a systematic review of higher education marketing literature and concluded that educational institutions differ significantly from commercial organizations. Hemsley-Brown and Goonawardana (2007), The authors examined international branding in higher education and highlighted the importance of maintaining consistency in institutional identity across different markets. Ivy (2008), Ivy proposed the application of the 7Ps marketing mix to higher education institutions. He explained that educational branding depends upon programme quality, pricing, institutional location, promotion, people, educational processes, and physical facilities. Bennett and Ali-Choudhury (2009), Bennett and Ali-Choudhury investigated students' perceptions of university brands. Their findings showed that institutional image, academic reputation, graduate employability, campus facilities, and communication significantly influence students' choice of universities.

Ng and Forbes (2009), Ng and Forbes viewed higher education as a service experience. They argued that students should not be treated merely as customers but as active participants in the learning process.

Chapleo (2011), Chapleo emphasized that branding has become an essential strategic function for universities. He argued that institutional branding should reflect the university's mission, leadership, organizational values, and academic strengths rather than relying solely on promotional campaigns. Mourad, Ennew, and Kortam (2011), Mourad and colleagues examined the determinants of brand equity in higher education. Their study identified institutional reputation, service quality, emotional attachment, and student satisfaction as major contributors to university brand equity. Kotler and Keller (2016), Kotler and Keller explained that successful organizations create long-term value by building strong relationships with customers and consistently delivering quality. Sultan and Wong (2019), Sultan and Wong examined the relationship between service quality, university brand image, student satisfaction, and behavioural intentions. UNESCO (2021), the UNESCO report Reimagining Our Futures Together emphasized that educational institutions must strengthen quality, innovation, inclusiveness, and social responsibility to remain relevant in the twenty-first century.

Ministry of Education, Government of India (2020), The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 introduced major reforms in Indian higher education by emphasizing multidisciplinary education, research, innovation, institutional autonomy, quality assurance, internationalization, and digital learning. World Economic Forum (2023), The Future of Jobs Report 2023 highlighted that employers increasingly seek graduates possessing analytical thinking, creativity, leadership, digital competence, and problem-solving abilities. Chapleo (2015), Chapleo further explained that institutional branding should become an integral part of university strategic planning

Research Gap

The review of literature reveals several important research gaps.

1. Most existing studies examine brand equity and institutional reputation independently rather than analysing their interrelationship within Business Schools.
2. Limited conceptual research integrates academic excellence, faculty competence, accreditation, digital branding, placement performance, alumni engagement, leadership, and stakeholder relationships into a comprehensive conceptual framework.

Most branding studies have been conducted in Western higher education systems, while relatively fewer conceptual studies focus specifically on Indian Business Schools

Research Methodology

Research Design

The present study adopts a conceptual research design to examine the determinants of brand equity and institutional reputation in Business Schools (B-Schools). Since the objective of the study is to develop a theoretical understanding of the factors influencing institutional branding, no primary data have been collected. Instead, the study synthesizes existing theories, models, and scholarly research to develop a comprehensive conceptual perspective. A qualitative and descriptive approach has been adopted to interpret the available literature and identify the major determinants influencing institutional reputation and brand equity.

Nature of the Study

The study is descriptive, exploratory, and conceptual in nature. It explores the relationship between brand equity and institutional reputation by reviewing previous academic studies and identifying the common factors that contribute to the development of strong educational brands. The study also proposes practical implications for Business Schools operating in a competitive higher education environment.

Sources of Data

The study is entirely based on secondary data collected from authentic academic sources, including: Peer-reviewed research journals, Books on branding, marketing, and higher education Government policy documents, Reports of accreditation agencies, International organizational reports, Conference proceedings, Institutional publications, Scholarly databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, ERIC, and Web of Science.

Findings and Discussions

The literature indicates that institutional reputation is influenced by the combined effect of academic quality, faculty competence, student satisfaction, accreditation, placement performance, digital branding, industry collaboration, alumni engagement, and leadership. The present study therefore concludes that Business Schools should adopt an integrated branding strategy rather than treating branding as an isolated marketing activity. Sustainable brand equity develops through consistent performance across all institutional functions.

The conceptual analysis indicates that brand equity and institutional reputation are interdependent strategic assets that develop through continuous academic excellence, effective leadership, stakeholder engagement, and quality assurance. Business Schools that adopt an integrated approach to branding are better positioned to achieve sustainable competitive advantage, attract quality students and faculty, strengthen industry relationships, and enhance their national and international reputation.

Conclusion

In conclusion, brand equity and institutional reputation are among the most valuable intangible assets of Business Schools**.** Institutions that continuously invest in academic quality, faculty development, research, student success, stakeholder relationships, ethical governance, innovation, and digital engagement are more likely to establish strong institutional brands and achieve sustainable competitive advantage. The conceptual insights presented in this paper provide a useful theoretical foundation for future research and practical guidance for educational leaders seeking to strengthen the reputation and long-term success of Business Schools.

References

Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing brand equity: Capitalizing on the value of a brand name. *Free Press.*

Aaker, D. A. (1996). Building strong brands. *Free Press.*

American Marketing Association. (2022). Dictionary of marketing terms. https://www.ama.org

Bennett, R., & Ali-Choudhury, R. (2009). Prospective students' perceptions of university brands: An empirical study. Journal of Marketing for Higher Education, 19*(1), 85–107.*

Chapleo, C. (2011). Exploring rationales for branding a university: Should we be seeking to measure branding in UK universities? Journal of Brand Management, 18*(6), 411–422.*

Chapleo, C. (2015). Brands in higher education: Challenges and potential strategies. International Studies of Management & Organization, 45*(2), 150–163.*

Hemsley-Brown, J., & Goonawardana, S. (2007). Brand harmonization in the international higher education market. Journal of Business Research, 60*(9), 942–948.*

Hemsley-Brown, J., & Oplatka, I. (2006). Universities in a competitive global marketplace: A systematic review of the literature on higher education marketing. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 19*(4), 316–338.*

Ivy, J. (2008). A new higher education marketing mix: The 7Ps for MBA marketing. International Journal of Educational Management, 22*(4), 288–299.*

Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. Journal of Marketing, 57*(1), 1–22.*

Keller, K. L. (2013). Strategic brand management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity *(4th ed.). Pearson.*

Kotler, P., & Fox, K. F. A. (1995). Strategic marketing for educational institutions *(2nd ed.). Prentice Hall.*

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Marketing management *(15th ed.). Pearson.*

Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. *Government of India.*

Mourad, M., Ennew, C., & Kortam, W. (2011). Brand equity in higher education. Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 29*(4), 403–420.*

Ng, I. C. L., & Forbes, J. (2009). Education as service: The understanding of university experience through the service logic. Journal of Marketing for Higher Education, 19*(1), 38–64.*

Palacio, A. B., Meneses, G. D., & Pérez, P. J. P. (2002). The configuration of the university image and its relationship with student satisfaction. Journal of Educational Administration, 40*(5), 486–505.*

Sultan, P., & Wong, H. Y. (2019). How service quality affects university brand performance, university brand image and behavioural intention: The mediating effects of satisfaction and trust and moderating roles of gender and study mode. Journal of Brand Management, 26*(3), 332–347.*

UNESCO. (2021). Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education. *UNESCO Publishing.*

World Economic Forum. (2023). The Future of Jobs Report 2023. *World Economic Forum.*