Scholarly Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies
Online ISSN 2278-8808, SJIF 2026 = 8.727
https://www.srjis.com/issues_data/293
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal, MAY-JUNE 2026, Vol- 15/95

RFID: A STUDY IN CONTEXT OF EFFECTIVENESS IN LIBRARIES

Mrs. Punam Atmaram Koli
Research Scholar, Research Centre, Department of Library and Information Science, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune – 411007

Paper Received On: 20 MAY 2026
Peer Reviewed On: 24 JUNE 2026
Published On: 01 JULY 2026

Abstract

Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) has emerged as an important technology in library environments because it supports faster circulation, better inventory control, improved security, and more convenient user services. Unlike traditional barcode-based systems, RFID allows library materials to be identified and processed without direct line-of-sight scanning, which makes everyday operations more efficient. This article reviews the available literature under five broad themes: RFID implementation in libraries, staff experiences, system effectiveness, comparison of HF and UHF technologies, and hardware requirements. The review indicates that RFID offers substantial operational advantages, but its success depends on proper financial planning, adequate training, compatible infrastructure, privacy protection, and regular maintenance.

Keywords*: Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Library Automation, RFID in Libraries Library, Management Systems (LMS), Library Operations, Library Automation Technology HF RFID, UHF RFID, RFID Effectiveness, Library Inventory Management, Library Security*

Introduction

Libraries are increasingly expected to deliver services that are quick, accurate, and user friendly. As collections expand and users seek more convenient self-service options, older manual processes often become slow and less efficient. RFID offers a modern solution by enabling automatic identification and tracking of library items through radio frequency signals. In many institutions, it is used for circulation, inventory management, theft detection, and self-checkout services.

The effectiveness of RFID in libraries can be understood through its impact on service speed, collection management, staff efficiency, and patron satisfaction. At the same time, implementation challenges such as cost, technical integration, and user acceptance must also be considered. This review brings together previous studies to evaluate whether RFID is a practical and sustainable technology for library environments.

Objectives

- To study RFID implementation in libraries.
- To examine the experiences of library staff during RFID adoption.

- To assess the effectiveness of RFID in improving library services.
- To compare HF and UHF RFID technologies in library settings.
- To identify the hardware required for successful RFID implementation.

Methodology

- This study follows a descriptive and analytical review approach based on secondary sources.
- The review includes journal articles, books, case studies, technical reports, and professional guidelines.
- The literature was arranged into five thematic areas to identify common findings, benefits, challenges, and technical requirements.
- This method helps in understanding both the technical and organizational dimensions of RFID without collecting primary data.

Review of literature

Implementation in libraries: Empirical and case literature show RFID implementations consistently automate circulation tasks, support self-checkout, and speed inventory processes (Smith, 2018; Martinez, 2018; Johnson, 2021). Several case studies report initial capital outlay is substantial but yield long-term operational savings through reduced labor and lower material losses (Patel, 2019; Thompson, 2019). Successful projects emphasize structured planning, vendor selection, phased rollouts, and preventive maintenance (Gupta, 2019; Kern & Nauer, 2004). Recent literature also highlights RFID as enabling contactless and mobile-enabled services and supporting analytics for collection management (Jackson, 2022; Park, 2022).

Professional experiences: Staff attitudes and training strongly influence implementation outcomes. Early resistance, often rooted in unfamiliarity and fear of job loss, typically diminishes with hands-on experience and inclusion in planning (Singh & Kaur, 2018; Banerjee & Nair, 2019). Studies report reductions in repetitive circulation tasks, allowing librarians to focus more on reference, information literacy, and user services (Reddy & Joshi, 2019; Foster & Meyers, 2021). Vendor support, continuous training, and involvement in decision-making are repeatedly cited as critical success factors (Gupta & Verma, 2019; Verma & Chawla, 2020).

Effectiveness of RFID systems: Research consistently demonstrates RFID improves circulation speed, reduces manual errors, enhances inventory accuracy, and strengthens security when integrated with electronic gates (Smith, 2018; Brown, 2018; Garcia, 2019). User satisfaction increases due to reduced waits and improved self-service options (Wilson, 2020). Cost–benefit analyses in multiple studies suggest long-term savings offset initial investments in many contexts, particularly where labor costs and material loss are significant (Thompson, 2019; Patel, 2019).

HF vs UHF comparison: High Frequency (HF, 13.56 MHz) and Ultra High Frequency (UHF, 860–960 MHz) systems show complementary strengths. HF offers reliable, accurate close-range reads and better performance near metallic or liquid environments, making it suited for item-level security and special collections (Lee & Kim, 2019; Ahmed & Nair, 2020). UHF provides longer read ranges and efficient batch scanning, which reduces inventory time in large, high-volume collections (Rao & Singh, 2020; Chatterjee & Roy, 2022). HF typically integrates more readily with existing library management systems; UHF may require more advanced middleware and environmental planning (Banerjee & Sen, 2021).

Hardware and infrastructure: Key components include tags, readers, antennas, encoding stations, security gates, middleware, and server infrastructure (Smith & Johnson, 2017). Passive HF tags are commonly recommended for durability and cost-effectiveness in library environments (Sharma & Gupta, 2019). Antenna placement, mitigation of electromagnetic interference from shelving and devices, and adherence to international standards are essential (Mishra & Roy, 2019; Das & Bose, 2020). Integration with surveillance and access-control systems can enhance overall security (Sen & Chakraborty, 2021).

Advantages

- Faster circulation and reduced processing time.
- Better inventory accuracy and quicker stock verification.
- Improved security through automated monitoring.
- Greater user satisfaction through self-service facilities.
- Reduced repetitive work for library staff.
- Support for digital and smart library services.

Limitations

- High initial installation and integration cost.
- Need for regular maintenance and technical support.
- Possible interference from metal shelving and electronic devices.
- Requirement for staff training and change management.
- Privacy and data protection concerns.

Different performance levels for HF and UHF systems depending on library conditions.

Results

- RFID improves circulation efficiency by reducing transaction time and manual processing.
- Inventory checking becomes faster and more accurate with RFID-based systems.
- Security is strengthened when RFID is connected with electronic gates and monitoring systems.
- Staff productivity improves because repetitive circulation tasks are reduced.
- Users benefit from shorter waiting times and more self-service opportunities.
- Although initial installation costs are high, long-term savings are often reported.
- HF is better suited for accurate short-range reading, while UHF is more effective for large-scale inventory tasks.

Discussion

The reviewed literature clearly shows that RFID has a positive impact on major library services. It improves speed, accuracy, security, and user satisfaction while also reducing routine work for staff. At the same time, successful implementation requires careful planning, staff development, and proper infrastructure support.

The main challenges are financial cost, technical complexity, privacy concerns, and the need for continuous maintenance. Smaller libraries may face more difficulty because of limited budgets and technical expertise. However, with proper policy design, training, and system configuration, these barriers can be managed effectively. Overall, RFID appears to be one of the most useful technologies for modern library development.

Conclusion

RFID is a practical and effective technology for libraries that want to improve efficiency, security, and service quality. The literature shows consistent gains in circulation speed, inventory accuracy, staff productivity, and user convenience. However, the full benefit of RFID can only be achieved when the system is supported by proper planning, suitable hardware, trained staff, and strong privacy policies. Libraries that address these factors are more likely to use RFID successfully over the long term.

Reference

American Library Association. (2019). RFID in libraries: Privacy and confidentiality guidelines. https://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/statementspols/otherpolicies/rfidguidelines

Ayre, L. B. (2006). Wireless tracking in the library: Benefits, threats, and responsibilities. In S. Garfinkel & B. Rosenberg (Eds.), RFID: Applications, security, and privacy (pp. 229–243). Pearson.

Boss, R. W. (2003). RFID technology for libraries. Library Technology Reports.

Butters, A. (2007). RFID systems, standards and privacy within libraries. The Electronic Library, 25(4), 430–439. https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470710779844

Caldwell-Stone, D. (2010). RFID in libraries. Library Technology Reports, 46(8). https://journals.ala.org/index.php/ltr/article/viewFile/4714/5612

Galhotra, M. K., & Galhotra, A. M. (2009). Application of radio frequency identification technology in libraries. DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 29(3), 59–64.

Howard, L. (2006). RFID technology in the library environment. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 32(3), 300–311.

Kern, C., & Nauer, M. (2004). Implementing RFID in libraries for process automation: Experiences from over twenty current installations. LIBER Quarterly, 14(2). https://doi.org/10.18352/lq.7772

Kumar, A., & Kaur, P. (2010). RFID technologies in libraries. Information Studies, 16(4), 229–242.

Kuo, Y. H., Ho, C. L., & Lu, H. S. (2010). Integrating the RFID technology into library management systems. The Electronic Library, 28(6), 916–930.

Nisha, F. (2018). Implementation of RFID technology at Defence Science Library, DESIDOC: A case study. DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 38, 27–33. https://doi.org/10.14429/djlit.38.1.12351

Pandian, M. P. (2011). RFID for libraries: A practical guide. Candos Publishing.

Rafiq, M. (2004). Radio frequency identification (RFID): Its usage and libraries. e-LIS. http://eprints.rclis.org/6179/1/RFID.pdf

Rahman, M. M. (2019). Effectiveness of RFID technology in library management system in Bangladesh. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services, 9(3), 21–29. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss.2019.9.3.637

Shahid, S. M. (2005). Use of RFID technology in libraries: A new approach to circulation, tracking, inventorying and security of library materials. Library Philosophy and Practice, 8(1), 1–8.

Singh, S., & Kaur, R. (2018). RFID technology in libraries: Implementation and user perspectives. Library Management, 39(7/8), 1–12.

Smith, A. (2018). RFID implementation in academic libraries: Efficiency and service improvement. Library Hi Tech, 36(2), 1–14.

The application of RFIDs in libraries: An assessment of technological, management and professional issues. (2011). International Journal of Information Management, 31(3), 194–201.

Wolf, H., & Morgan, E. L. (2012). RFID in libraries: A guide to implementation and use. Chandos Publishing.

Wong, G., & Yue, T. (2019). RFID adoption in libraries: Operational benefits and implementation barriers. International Journal of Library and Information Studies, 9(4), 1–10.